Microbial contamination of libraries and archives: risk assessment and contamination control

F Bosco, M Demichela, A A Barresi and D Fissore

Department of Applied Science and Technology, DISAT, Politecnico di Torino

FLORENCE HERI-TECH 14-16 OCTOBER 2020

#### **Recovery of FLOODED ARCHIVAL MATERIALS**

## Documents – certificates



http://www.sato-archivi.it

## Natural flood



http://www.arte.it/calendario-arte/firenze

## Cultural heritage – ancient books



https://www.bncf.firenze.sbn.it



http://www.mgmd.it/services

## Fire extinguishing

# DRYING paper materials QUICKLY applying NON-INVASIVE methods

Prevent BIODETERIORATION AND ILLNESS caused by

Microorganisms and their metabolites

Probability of microbial growth

increases with

water contact time

HIGH paper BIORECEPTIVITY

*i.e.* its ability to be colonized by microorganisms.

Cellulose could be a primary C source

for paper borne microorganisms.

Different classes of microorganisms can cause biodeterioration and illness:

BACTERIA, YEASTS, **FILAMENTOUS FUNGI** 



FLORENCE HERI-TECH 14-16 OCTOBER 2020

## **RISK BASED** decision making can guide the adoption of the measures to be implemented



#### $R = P \times E \times M$

- P is the probability of occurrence of an unwanted event that could trigger the mould formation;
- E is the exposure factor to the hazard;
- M is the magnitude or severity of the consequences.

P = 1

The starting unwanted event is taken for granted

	EXPOS	SURE FACTOR, E			
xposure actor, E	Librarian (h/week)	Reader (contacts/week)	Restorer (contacts/week)	Indexes def	
4	30÷40	>10	>5	assignment	
3	20÷30	7÷10	3÷5	on expert	
2	10÷20	4÷7	2÷3		
 1	<10	<4	<2		

Indexes definitions and assignment are based on expert judgment

#### MAGNITUDE FACTOR, M

Magnitude Factor, M	Description
4	High contamination with toxins production
3	Low contamination with toxins production OR high contamination with allergens production
2	Low contamination with allergens production
1	Negligible contamination

#### **RISK TOLERABILITY EVALUATION**



Tolerable risk. Monitoring and awareness are required. Risk to be reduced. Reduction measures have to be planned Risk not tolerable, requires immediate reduction measures

	EXPOSUR	E FACTOR, E	
Exposure	Librarian	Reader	Restorer
Factor, E	(h/week)	(contacts/week)	(contacts/week)
4	30÷40	>10	>5
3	20÷30	7÷10	3÷5
2	10÷20	4÷7	2÷3
1	<10	<4	<2
	MAGNITUD	DE FACTOR, M	
Magnitude Factor, M		Des	cription
4	High contaminat	tion with toxins production	1

3 Low contamination with toxins production OR high contamination with allergens production

- 2 Low contamination with allergens production
  - Negligible contamination

#### **RISK TOLERABILITY EVALUATION**



Tolerable risk. Monitoring and awareness are required.

Risk to be reduced. Reduction measures have to be planned

Risk not tolerable, requires immediate reduction measures

#### Case study



A flooding due to the spurious intervention of the firefighting system sprinklers has damaged a shelf containing books, with the possible formation of filamentous fungi that could spread in the air toxins in case of manipulation.

A **librarian**, who should not manipulate the books after the contamination, will not be exposed A **restorer** will be potentially exposed. A **reader** will be potentially exposed.

#### Initial condition

EXPOSURE FACTOR, E				
Exposure Factor, E	Librarian (h/week)	Reader (contacts/week)	Restorer (contacts/week)	
4	30÷40	>10	>5	
3	20÷30	7÷10	3÷5	
2	10÷20	4÷7	2÷3	
1	<10	<4	<2	

#### MAGNITUDE FACTOR, M

Magnitude Factor, M	Description
4	High contamination with toxins production
3	Low contamination with toxins production OR high contamination with allergens production
2	Low contamination with allergens production
1	Negligible contamination

#### **RISK TOLERABILITY EVALUATION**



Tolerable risk. Monitoring and awareness are required. Risk to be reduced. Reduction measures have to be planned Risk not tolerable, requires immediate reduction measures

		EXPOSURE FACTOR, E			
	Restorer	Reader	Librarian	Exposure	
	(contacts/week)	(contacts/week)	(h/week)	Factor, E	
	>5	>10	30÷40	4	
	3+5	7÷10	20÷30	3	
	2+3	4÷7	10÷20	2	
	<2	<4	<10	1	
	MAGNITUDE FACTOR, M				
	cription	Desc		Magnitude Factor, M	
th allergens	OR high contamination wit	ion with allergens producti	Low contaminat production	4 3 2 1	
		LITY EVALUATION	RISK TOLERABI		

#### Residual risk could be further managed using PPE

## **ESSENTIAL OILS**

- EO are natural, volatile, complex compounds extracted from aromatic plants.
- EO antimicrobial activity is closely related to the chemical composition.







## ESSENTIAL OILS



#### Kalemba D., Kunicka A., 2003, Antibacterial and Antifungal Properties of Essential Oils, Current Medicinal Chemistry, 10, 813-829.

**AIM**: Investigate the effect of EO treatment, after freeze-drying, on the growth of microorganisms contaminating the flooded archival material. EO was applied at two different time: immediately after the drying phase (t0) or after 24 hours of incubation.









Effect of Thymus vulgaris oil after freeze-drying



Control

Treatment after **24 hours** 

Effect of *Thymus vulgaris* oil after freeze-drying

The mycelium of *A. alternata*, with or without sporification, treated immediately after the drying (red line), showed a total inhibition of growth



# Effect of *Thymus vulgaris* oil after freeze-drying



Effect of *Thymus vulgaris* oil on contaminated book



**Contaminated book treated with** *Thymus vulgaris* oil: **pre-treatment with EO (on the left)**, **post-treatment with EO (on the right). EO contact time: A) 2 hours; B) 24 hours.** 

## CONCLUSION

- *Thymus vulgaris* oil (0.75% v/v) was able to inhibit the growth of *R. mucilaginosa* and *S. epidermidis*, after the freeze-drying process; the efficacy was higher when the oil was applied earlier.
- The mycelium of *A. alternata*, with or without sporification, treated immediately after the drying, showed a total inhibition of growth during the evaluation period; for non-sporulated mycelium a higher value of MGI% was observed.
- The inhibition effect of T. vulgaris oil (0,75% v/v) on contaminated book was higher in the areas where it was applied through the contact sheets. Probably, the volatile components of EO showed a higher toxicity
- *Risk based decision making* can support the choice of the preventive measures.
- The *initial risk modelling work* will have to be enriched as far as the knowledge of the effects of microorganisms on the human health and their growth and diffusion will increase. Also the influence of the storage time and storage conditions, that can significantly influence the level of contamination, and thus the Magnitude factor will be considered in further works.

Many thanks to:

Ing. Gabriele BALDISSONE Dott. ssa Chiara MOLLEA

for their precious support

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

FLORENCE HERI-TECH 14-16 OCTOBER 2020